### PATENT COOPERATION THEATT

	•				PCI
	see form PC	CTASA/220		WRI INTERNATIO	TTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference			. **	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
	national application No AGB2004/004289		International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.10.2003
 terr 21	national Patent Classi B17/042, E21B17	fication (IPC) or 7/06, E21B43	both national classification	and IPC	
PE	cant ECIALISED PETF	ROLEUM SE	RVICES GROUP LIM	ITED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	This opinion co	ntains indicat	ions relating to the fo	llowing items:	
•	_	Basis of the o	pinion		
•	☑ Box No.1	Basis of the o			
	_	Priority			entive step and industrial applicability
•	☑ Box No. I	Priority Non-establish	ment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability
•	<ul><li>☑ Box No. I</li><li>☐ Box No. II</li><li>☐ Box No. III</li></ul>	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability:	nment of opinion with re- of invention atement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanatio	gard to novelty, inve	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial
•	Box No. II Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain documents	nment of opinion with regot invention attended to the second of the seco	gard to novelty, inve his.1(a)(i) with regard ns supporting such	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial
•	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VI	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain docum Certain defect	nment of opinion with reconstruction atement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanationents cited	gard to novelty, invents.  Sis.1(a)(i) with regard resources such polication	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial
•	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VI	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain docum Certain defect	nment of opinion with regot invention attended to the second of the seco	gard to novelty, invents.  Sis.1(a)(i) with regard resources such polication	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VII  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain docu- Certain defectortain obse	nment of opinion with reconstruction atoment under Rule 435 citations and explanation ments cited in the international approach on the international approac	gard to novelty, invents, inve	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
2.	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII  FURTHER ACTI  If a demand for international Bury will not be so co	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain docu- Certain defect Certain obse ION international profithe International process an Authoreau under Ruinsidered.	ament of opinion with re- of invention atement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation ments cited its in the international approximations on the international approximation in the internation in the	gard to novelty, inventors. 1(a)(i) with regard in supporting such opplication onal application in a Market in the top the IPEA and a opinions of this intentors.	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement  will usually be considered to be a (*). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI Virtuelly a demand for international Bury Will not be so co	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain docut Certain defect Certain obse ION international profithe Internatio coses an Authoreau under Ruionsidered.  as provided a EA a written read adate of mailing	ament of opinion with re- of invention atement under Rule 43b citations and explanation ments cited its in the international a- rvations on the international reliminary examination is real Preliminary Examination ority other than this one le 66.1bis(b) that writter bove, considered to be	gard to novelty, inventions a written opinion of the awritten opinion	to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement  will usually be considered to be a  "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII  FURTHER ACTI  If a demand for invitten opinion of the applicant charmational Bury will not be so could this opinion is submit to the IP months from the	Priority  Non-establish  Lack of unity  Reasoned sta applicability:  Certain docum  Certain defect  Certain obse  ION  International profithe Internation  reau under Ruitereau under Ruiterea	ament of opinion with re- of invention atement under Rule 43b citations and explanation ments cited its in the international and realiminary examination is real Preliminary Examination in al Preliminary Examination le 66.1bis(b) that writter bove, considered to be ply together, where app g of Form PCT/ISA/220	gard to novelty, inventions a written opinion of the awritten opinion	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to
	Box No. I  Box No. II  Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII  FURTHER ACTI  If a demand for invitten opinion of the applicant characteristic and Bury will not be so collistic to the IP months from the whichever expires	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned sta applicability: Certain docut Certain obse ION international profithe Internatio coses an Authoreau under Ruionsidered.  as provided a EA a written real date of mailinges later. cons, see Form	ament of opinion with re- of invention atement under Rule 43b citations and explanation ments cited its in the international and realiminary examination is real Preliminary Examination in al Preliminary Examination le 66.1bis(b) that writter bove, considered to be ply together, where app g of Form PCT/ISA/220	gard to novelty, inventions a written opinion of the awritten opinion	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to

Garrido Garcia, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4468

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

### IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 35 APR 2006

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004289

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	la: (u	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:			
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. forr	nat of material:		
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3	t	n addition. In the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		

4. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/004289

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 086 843 D2: WO 00/57020 A D3: US-B1-6 467 547

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a downhole releasable coupling, the coupling comprising a first substantially tubular member (10) having a bore therethrough, one or more raised portions arranged circumferentially on the outer surface, the raised portions defining a first face surrounding the member and substantially perpendicular to the outer surface; and a second tubular member (14) having a bore therethrough, one or more raised portions (38) arranged circumferentially on an outer surface thereof, the raised portions defining a second face (42) surrounding the member and substantially perpendicular to the outer surface, the second face (42) being at an end of the member; wherein the first tubular member (10) slides within the second tubular member (14), and the first and second raised portions can meet to thereby transfer torque when a member is rotated.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known coupling in that:

- first and second screw threads are provided in the first and second members,
- the raised portions in the first and the second tubular members have a plurality of projections, each projection having a substantially straight portion arranged parallel to the bore and a sloping portion, joining an apex of the projection to a base of an adjacent projection, and
- the first and second screw threads mate and on part engagement of the screw threads, the first and second straight portions can meet to thereby transfer torque when a member is rotated in the direction of the screw threads.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/004289

The problem to be solved by the present invention is the application of excessive torque to a threaded coupling it is desired to loosen later. When reverse torque is applied, it is not certain that the joint of the coupling is released, and not some other joint along the string. This problem is solved by the features differentiating claim 1 from the prior art by providing projections that meet on part engagement of the threads, so that the amount of torque applied to that particular joint is limited, thus ensuring a safe release when torque is reversed.

Document D2 discloses a joint for tubular members with twist limiters (35, 85), although the threads are discontinuous in order to allow insertion of the pin into the box, and the purpose is to secure a desired relative position of the end of a conductor cable (66). In document D3, a torque dampening system is included in a coupling to dampen the overtravel of a liner upon its breaking free from an obstruction (see column 1, lines 49 to 64). However, none of these documents hints at the solution provided by the present invention to the objective problem explained above, and therefore claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

Claims 2 to 19 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 20 and its dependent claims 21 to 26, which therefore are also considered new and.

#### Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application (form or content)

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1, D2 and D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).